

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 14-00196 CRB

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DIRECTING FILING**

v.

KWOK CHEUNG CHOW, a/k/a "Raymond  
Chow," a/k/a "Hai Jai," a/k/a "Shrimpboy,"  
et al.

Defendants.

The Court has received the government's Ex Parte Motion for Temporary Sealing Order, which asks the Court to place Documents 883 through 886 (Defendant Raymond Chow's Motion to Dismiss for Selective Prosecution and the accompanying Exhibits to that Motion) under seal until the Court can examine the filings and determine whether they should or should not remain under seal. See Mot. (dkt. 887) at 2. The Court requires further information in order to rule on the government's Motion, and therefore DIRECTS the Government to specify how the materials contained in the Motion to Dismiss and the accompanying Exhibits violate Paragraph 15 of the Protective Order, which provides:

This Protective Order does not limit or modify the parties' rights to use the SUBJECT MATERIALS in judicial proceedings in this action, including any trial or pretrial materials before the Court, using appropriate procedures to

1 protect the safety and security of third parties when necessary. Any SUBJECT  
2 MATERIALS that reveal the images or the true identities of any federal  
3 undercover agents or confidential human sources shall be filed under seal.

4 Protective Order (dkt. 302) ¶ 15. The Court further DIRECTS the government to address the  
5 application of 18 U.S.C. § 2518(8)(b) to this matter. That section provides that wiretap  
6 “applications and orders shall be disclosed only upon a showing of good cause before a judge  
7 of competent jurisdiction.” 18 U.S.C. § 2518(8)(b).

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9  
10 Dated: August 5, 2015



11 CHARLES R. BREYER  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28